UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,679	05/12/2005	Johannes Pohlner	37998-237381	7233
26694 VENABLE LLI	7590 10/22/200 P	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3438		MACFARLANE, STACEY NEE		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1649	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/520,679	POHLNER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
STACEY MACFARLANE	1649		

	STACEY MACFARLANE	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance (t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	* **	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		'aral Clad anamada	o Constant Paragraphic
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6-8,11,14,15 and 20-22.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/John D. Ulm/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1649	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims have been amended to recite, inter alia, the method comprising administering a test compound to an animal "developing symptoms of Alzheimer's disease", however the claims do not set forth the active method steps by which one of ordinary skill in the art determine animals that are "developing" symptoms. Thus, the amendments to the claims raise new issues of prosecution under 35 U.S.C.112m firstand second paragraphs.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Remarks filed after Final do not present new arguments that are persuasive to overcome the rejections. Claim amendments do not place the application in condition for allowance. Therefore, all claim limitations are as previously presented, considered and rejected for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed June 2, 2008.